

CREDIT OPINION

9 February 2017

Rate this Research >>

RATINGS

Moat Homes

Domicile	United Kingdom
Long Term Rating	A1
Type	LT Issuer Rating - Dom Curr
Outlook	Negative

Please see the ratings section at the end of this report for more information. The ratings and outlook shown reflect information as of the publication date.

Contacts

Cynthia Mar 44-20-7772-1666
Associate Analyst
cynthia.mar@moodys.com

Jeanne Harrison 44-20-7772-1751
Assistant Vice President
jeanne.harrison@moodys.com

David Rubinoff 44-20-7772-1398
MD-Sub Sovereigns
david.rubinoff@moodys.com

Moat Homes

Update to Key Credit Factors

Summary Rating Rationale

The A1 issuer rating assigned to Moat Homes (MOA) reflects its healthy margins, ample social housing interest coverage and modest levels of debt. The rating also takes into account MOA's increasing exposure to market sales and anticipated rise in debt to fund its development programme.

In addition, Moat's A1 rating benefits from the strong regulatory framework governing English housing associations and our assessment that there is a strong likelihood that the UK government (Aa1 negative) would intervene in the event that MOA faced acute liquidity stress.

MOA is rated at the upper end of Moody's-rated English housing associations, whose ratings span from Aa3 to Baa1.

Exhibit 1

Moat has benefited from a consistently stronger operating margin over its peers, this is driven by a strong margin on their core social housing business.
Operating margin (%) and social housing letting margin (%)



Note: FY2015 and FY2016 have been restated in FRS102 format.

Source: Moat and Moody's

Credit Strengths

- » Solid Social Housing Letting Interest Coverage metrics and strong margins
- » Medium-sized association with a straightforward structure
- » Strong regulatory framework

Credit Challenges

- » Projected increase in exposure to riskier market sale activity

- » Debt levels expected to rise from relatively low base
- » Government policy changes make operating environment more challenging for housing associations.

Rating Outlook

The negative outlook on Moat's rating reflects the negative impact of the vote to leave the European Union on housing associations as well as the negative outlook on the sovereign rating, reflecting the close institutional, operational and financial linkages between the central government and housing associations.

Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

Given the negative outlook, upward ratings pressure on HAs is unlikely to develop in view of the challenging operating environment and weakened sovereign credit conditions. Strengthening credit metrics of stand-alone credit profiles, however, could put stabilising pressure on Moat's rating. A combination of the following could have positive rating implications:

- » reduced exposure to market sale activities;
- » maintenance of a healthy operating margin;
- » social housing letting interest coverage rising to, and remaining at or above, 1.9x.

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

Downward ratings pressure on the affected HAs would be prompted by further deterioration of the UK sovereigns' creditworthiness. Additionally, any sector or issuer-specific risks emerging in this context would exacerbate downward ratings pressures. Negative pressure could be exerted on the rating by one or a combination of following:

- » a deterioration of Social Housing Letting Interest Coverage ratio in relation to peers;
- » persistent weakness in the Cash Flow Volatility Interest Coverage ratio;
- » a slump in current liquidity position;
- » increased reliance on shared ownership and outright sales above current levels.

In addition, a weaker regulatory framework, a dilution of the overall level of support from the UK government or a downgrade of the UK sovereign rating would also exert downward pressure on the rating.

Key Indicators

Moat Homes							
	31-Mar-12	31-Mar-13	31-Mar-14	31-Mar-15	31-Mar-16	31-Mar-17 *	31-Mar-18*
Units under management (no.)	20,700	20,951	20,922	21,115	21,321	21,189	20,803
Operating margin, before interest (%)	32.5	35.3	36.7	34.7	37.3	36.9	30.7
Net capital expenditure as % turnover	52.5	39.1	51.8	55.0	33.7	50.6	25.6
Social housing letting interest coverage (x times)	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.5
Cash flow volatility interest coverage (x times)	2.1	2.4	2.6	1.7	2.7	1.1	1.6
Debt to revenues (x times)	3.8	3.7	3.9	3.7	3.6	4.2	4.0
Debt to assets at cost (%)	29.7	30.0	30.8	33.9	33.9	35.3	37.6

* FY2017 and FY2018 are forecasted figures.

Note that FY2015 and onwards are prepared in FRS102 format while prior years were prepared using the old UK GAAP.

Source: Moat and Moody's

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

Recent Developments

As part of the new financial reporting framework, HAs in the UK were required to report FRS102-compliant accounts from March 2016, including comparatives for March 2015. Categories of the accounts which are most impacted include: housing assets, social housing grant, pensions, and financial instruments. Housing assets may be valued at cost or valuation as under the previous framework, or at deemed cost, which is a revaluation of housing assets at the date of transition. Social housing grant is no longer deducted from the cost of housing assets, but rather recognised as a long-term creditor and amortised. Moody's will continue to adjust gearing to exclude the revaluation reserve, whether an HA values its assets at deemed cost or valuation.

Detailed Rating Considerations

MOA's A1 rating combines a baseline credit assessment (BCA) of a3 and a strong likelihood of extraordinary support coming from the national government in the event that the entity faced acute liquidity stress.

SOLID SOCIAL HOUSING LETTING INTEREST COVERAGE AND STRONG MARGINS

MOA benefits from strong interest coverage metrics with social housing letting interest coverage (SHLIC) at 1.7x in FY2016, in-line with the A1 peer median. MOA's cash interest coverage reached 3.3x this year, above A1 peer median of 2.5x, and has been consistently above 2.0x in the last five years.

As part of our updated rating methodology we have incorporated a new metric termed Cash Flow Volatility Interest Coverage (CVIC), which reflects how effectively a housing association can cover net cash interest expense using operating cash flow (CFO), adjusted by the CFO's volatility over the past three years. The CVIC ratio accounts for movements in cash associated with market sales; during an investment phase of growth the ratio can weaken as cash is tied up in stock for sale. MOA's CVIC was 2.7x in FY2016, but is forecast to drop to 1.1x in FY2017, driven by an upswing in development for sale, and falling below Moody's-rated peer median (in FY2015) of 1.7x. A key credit driver will be how effectively MOA can achieve CVIC levels closer in-line with peers throughout their proposed development programme. Current projections show Moat's CVIC returning to 1.6x in FY2018 and remaining above that level in the following three years.

MOA's total revenue grew to £121 million in FY2016 (2015: £111 million), with improved operating margins of 37%, amongst the highest of Moody's rated peers, comparing favourably to an A1-rated peer median of 29%. Operating margins have registered a steady increase from FY2010's levels of 22%, driven by the impressive margins made from Moat's core social housing letting business which reached 41% this year (exhibit 1). Total margins displayed a similar trajectory, steadily increasing to 32% in FY2016 from 12% in FY2010. MOA's business plan for FY2017-21 projects that operating margins will fall closer to the peer median in FY2018, as interest expenses increase to service rising debt. We note, however, that MOA regularly out performs its business plan projections.

MEDIUM-SIZED ASSOCIATION WITH A STRAIGHTFORWARD GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE

MOA is a medium-sized provider of social housing in England, with around 21,000 units under management. Located in the south east of England, with 20% of MOA's stock located in the London area. Moat operates in areas where demand for social housing is high, supporting SHL turnover stability. Operations have been consolidated to 44 key local authority areas, down from 60 in 2009, as MOA works to achieve operational efficiencies. Moat's asset management plan aims to reduce operations to 30 local authorities that have been identified as "growth areas".

Moat Homes Limited is a charitable registered provider and the asset holding parent of the group. While there are currently six subsidiaries, two of these are dormant and one has been discontinued. The remaining three include Moat Foundation, a charitable arm that focuses on supporting community development activities, Moat Housing Group Limited (MHG), a non-charitable registered provider set up for the development of homes for market sale, and the group's financing vehicle named Moat Homes Finance PLC. The parent effectively retains control and oversight over the group.

Social housing letting as a percentage of MOA's turnover broadly remained stable from last year at 77% (FY2015: 78%), slightly lower than the median for Moody's A1 rated peers (79% in FY2016). This represents a moderate decrease from historical levels of 80% between FY2011-FY2014. As Moat rolls out its latest business plan, in which the housing association plans to increase development with an element of sale, including first-tranche shared ownership and outright sale, turnover from social housing letting is expected to fall to 68% of total turnover in FY2020.

STRONG REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

English housing associations operate in a highly regulated environment, with a strong oversight exercised by the sector's regulator, the Homes and Communities Agency (HCA). The Regulator is responsible for protecting the public investment in social housing and compliance with broad economic and consumer standards. Compliance with the standards is proactively monitored by the HCA through quarterly returns, long term business plan and annual reviews, and focuses on: governance, financial viability, value for money and rents. The HCA's levers of control are wide ranging and include the ability to award capital grant funding, levy financial penalties, and impose independent inquiries or appoint new managers and officers in extreme circumstances. The HCA emphasizes that their role is a co-regulatory one with the primary onus being on boards and executive teams to ensure compliance with the standards. As part of the Housing and Planning Act 2016, the Regulator's powers are expected to weaken in several areas including: removal of the consent to dispose of housing assets, removal of constitutional consents for group restructuring including mergers, and stricter conditions for appointing officers. The dilution of regulatory oversight and power is credit negative, although we expect the Regulator to continue to take an active role in managing risk in the sector.

PROJECTED INCREASE IN EXPOSURE TO RISKIER MARKET SALE

With sales revenues at 21% of turnover, we consider MOA's exposure to the cyclical property market as high relative to peers, and this is set to increase in the most recent business plan. Moat has been a provider of shared ownership housing for several years, and receipts from the first tranche sales comprised the largest portion of sales revenues. Outright market sales have been a small proportion of turnover - ranging between 0%-6% - as Moat considers itself a shared ownership specialist. However, looking forward, MOA plans to grow their proportion of outright market sales to 15% of turnover, matching approximately the proportion of FTSO sales. Market sales (FTSO and outright sale combined) are anticipated to account for 30% of total turnover by FY2020, a significant change of focus from last year's business plan in which market sales were expected to reach just 18% of turnover by FY2020. Given that social housing lettings receipts are far more predictable than those generated from market sales, this exposure will be a key credit challenge for Moat.

Moat's experience in the shared ownership market is demonstrated both the proportion of turnover from FTSO sales (21% - MOA had no outright sales in FY2016) as well as the 41% margin on these sales. Looking forward, shared ownership will make up 51% of the tenure mix in Moat's FY2017-2021 development plan of a total, 30% allocated to sub-market rent, 6% to market rent and 13% to outright sales. The FY2017-FY2021 plan provides for the total development of approximately 2,250 units. The strength of MOA's core social housing business combined with the conservative assumptions set out in the business plan, which assumes house price inflation at CPI+1.5%, will partially mitigate some of the risks associated with the market sales activity.

Net capital expenditure (capex) as a percentage of turnover was 34% in FY2016 and is forecast to rise to 51% in FY2017, driven by planned development and a significant regeneration project. Moat's liquidity is sufficient to cover this rise in expenditure, however, as measured by our liquidity metric, MOA's position in FY2016 was somewhat weaker than peers. The liquidity coverage metric measures the ability of available liquidity to cover the following two years of net capex. Due to the anticipated rise in net capex in FY2017, MOA's liquidity coverage ratio as of March 2016 was 1.15x. This is below Moody's rated peer median, 1.5x in FY2015. However, net capex is projected to decrease in subsequent years, contingent on sales revenues materialising as expected and providing a lift to cash flows. Combined with an increase in available facilities over the year, we expect Moat's liquidity coverage metric to improve to over 2x in FY2017.

Moat Homes Group Limited (MHG) will act as the group's commercial subsidiary overseeing outright sales activity. The subsidiary has four non-executive board members with expertise in property development that monitor activity on a scheme by scheme basis.

DEBT RISING, BUT FROM A RELATIVELY LOW BASE

At FY2016, MOA's debt was £430m, equivalent to 3.6x revenues or 34% of assets at cost. The business plan anticipates that debt will grow by just under £80 million in FY2017, the new total will be equivalent to 4.2x forecast FY2017 revenues or 35% of assets at cost. Moat benefits from a historically low level of debt, in FY2014 MOA's debt-to-assets stood at 31%, amongst the lowest of Moody's rated portfolio. While Moat's debt metrics are deteriorating, they remain in-line with A1 peers (FY2015 A1 debt-to-assets median: 36%), weakening from a strong position. While we expect Moat to take on additional debt over the next five years, with debt-to-assets reaching 40% by FY2020, the organisation will retain sufficient headroom against covenants.

As of August 2016 Moat held approximately 80% of its debt at fixed rates, within the organisation's treasury policy which allows for 60-90% of fixed debt. Included in the fixed rate debt is a portfolio of stand-alone swaps with a notional value of £143 million, with a mark-to-market of £93 million as of August 2016, covered by a combination of security and cash. We consider this as moderately high exposure to interest rate risk, mitigated however by estimated liquidity of close to 150% of projected FY2017 revenues, well above the 97% average of Moody's rated portfolio. Furthermore, total unencumbered assets would allow for more than £400 million of additional borrowing.

GOVERNMENT POLICY CHANGES MAKE OPERATING ENVIRONMENT MORE CHALLENGING FOR HOUSING ASSOCIATIONS

The operating environment for social housing providers is fundamentally shaped by government policy and announcements made in July 2015 as part of the UK Summer Budget made these circumstances more challenging. On 8 July 2015, the UK government announced (1) a change in the social housing rent formula to 1% annual reduction starting from April 2016 for four years (previously growing annually by CPI+1%) and (2) further reductions in the accessibility of certain welfare benefits. The effect of these measures is further magnified by the ongoing implementation of Universal Credit and the extension of Right to Buy for HA tenants. Overall, these policy shifts are gradually eroding the ties to the government by creating a more unpredictable operating environment and undermining the extent and stability of housing benefits contribution to revenues. We do note, however, that the 2016 Autumn Statement announced policies which are more supportive of HA development programmes, notably the availability of additional grants (£1.4 billion) and the relaxed tenure restrictions in the affordable homes grant programme, which will now include funding for rented social housing in addition to homeownership tenures.

Housing benefit paid to working age tenants, who are being affected by the implementation of Universal Credit represent on average 29% of total income (Moat: 22.5%) for Moody's rated peers. The agreed extension of the Right to Buy to housing associations tenants may in short term lead to positive cash inflows, but creates a risk of a longer term erosion of social housing stock if HAs are unable to replace sold properties.

Extraordinary Support Considerations

The strong level of extraordinary support factored into the rating reflects the wide-ranging powers of redress available to the regulator in cases of financial distress, with the possibility of a facilitated merger or a transfer of engagements. Recent history has shown that the UK government is willing to support the sector, as housing remains a politically and economically sensitive issue. The strong support also factors housing associations' increasing exposure to non-core social housing activities, that add complexity to their operations and make an extraordinary intervention more challenging.

Rating Methodology and Scorecard Factors

[European Social Housing Providers](#), July 2016 (190944)

[Government Related Issuers](#), October 2014 (173845)

Ratings

Exhibit 4

Category	Moody's Rating
MOAT HOMES	
Outlook	Negative
Issuer Rating -Dom Curr	A1
MOAT HOMES FINANCE PLC	
Outlook	Negative
Senior Secured -Dom Curr	A1

Source: Moody's Investors Service

Moody's Public Sector Europe is the trading name of Moody's Investors Service EMEA Limited, a company incorporated in England with registered number 8922701 that operates as part of the Moody's Investors Service division of the Moody's group of companies.

© 2017 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

Contacts

Cynthia Mar
Associate Analyst
cynthia.mar@moody's.com

44-20-7772-1666

Matt Fawcett
Associate Analyst
matt.fawcett@moody's.com

44-20-7772-5326

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454